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Three of a major disaster

Earthquake, tsunami and nuclear power plant accident. Three are difficult disaster that has affected.
My work in Iitate (Speakers of history)

From 2011 May
- Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Technology Conference
- Secretariat Technology development in charge of radioactive material removal

From 2012 April
Fukushima Prefecture Iitate reconstruction Policy Division work
- Farmland decontamination technology development
- Restoration of agricultural land after decontamination
- Study of the incineration facility, local to the location description
- Risk communication
- Planning of solar power generation business
- Residents briefings and meetings, etc.
- Reputational damage control seminars across the country
- Meeting with Honda's in the relevant testing and research
Position of the Iitate village and the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power

April, 2011
Back up Fukushima by Offering Support Both for Early Return and for Starting New Lives

1. Lifting of Evacuation Orders and Enhancement of Initiatives for Evacuees’ Returning Home
   (Targeting Area 1 and Area 2)
   (i) Measures to ensure safety and security
       (reduction of radiation exposure and health consultation)
   (ii) Additional compensation necessary and sufficient for evacuees to return home
   (iii) Development of an environment for evacuees’ returning home using the Grants (¥160 Billion) to Accelerate Revitalization of Fukushima
   (iv) Decontamination work in cooperation with the move toward the reconstruction and further efforts after completing the decontamination work as planned at present

   Realization of the Lifting of evacuation orders in consultation with local communities

2. Enhancement of Support for Evacuees’ Starting New Lives
   (Targeting Area 3)
   (i) Additional compensation necessary and sufficient for evacuees to start new lives
   (ii) Development of reconstruction bases within and outside of the areas
   (iii) Consideration of future plans for the creation of communities and decontamination, etc. based on decontamination model projects, etc.

   Consideration of concrete medium- and long-term future visions covering a wide area, together with local communities

---

"Areas to which evacuation orders are ready to be lifted" [Area 1]
"Areas in which the residents are not permitted to live" [Area 2]
"Areas where it is expected that the residents have difficulties in returning for a long time" [Area 3]
Areas to which evacuation orders have been issued

“Areas to which evacuation orders are ready to be lifted” [Area 1]
“Areas in which the residents are not permitted to live” [Area 2]
“Areas where it is expected that the residents have difficulties in returning for a long time” [Area 3]
The passage of time from the earthquake

(in the case of Fukushima Prefecture Iitate)

- March 11  Tohoku-Pacific Ocean earthquake
- March 12, 5:00 am  10km radius of the evacuation instruction range from the primary
- March 12, 6 pm  Expand the evacuation instruction range from the primary to the 20km radius
- March 14, 11 am  The first nuclear power plant Unit 3 hydrogen explosion Fukushima
- March 15, 11 am  Specified in the sheltering areas in radius 30km from the nuclear power plant
- April 11, 2011  It announced the planned evacuation area of setting policy
- April 12  Determine the send-off of crop planting
- April 22  Specified in the planned evacuation zone (to the whole village evacuation)
- June 22  Iitate village office Iino branch office (whole village evacuation completed)
The passage of time from the earthquake

(in the case of Fukushima Prefecture Iitate)

Feelings of the residents?
- Anyway residents information is wanted.
- 3 months and it felt more abandoned.
- Government agencies have lost their trust.
Problems that residents had
Changes of the challenges felt by the speaker

2011  Radiation dose increases, evacuation, secure residence
2012  Decontamination technology development, livelihood security, property security
2013  Effect of decontamination
2014  Storage of large amounts of decontamination waste
2015  Prolonged evacuation life
2016  Age, gender, by region feedback consciousness
2011. Radiation dose increases, confirmation of evacuation contacts, explosive response year

2012. Office staff, but the person is also a victim, must continue to support the victims.

2013. Temporary storage secure, processing of combustible materials incineration of decontamination waste, groove between the local government cooperation

2014. Development of along the return assistance plan

2015. Life support in the evacuation destination, municipality image after feedback

2016. Age, gender, of the feedback faced by local support
Continuous apologies residents briefings by government

(in the case of Fukushima Prefecture Iitate)
Briefings

(in the case of Fukushima Prefecture Iitate)
Immediately after the paddy decontamination
Verification test

Namie rice cultivation (2014.6)
Harvest the rice after scraping topsoil

Iitate rice demonstration test

2011 cultivation test results (10a equivalent)

Akitakomachi : 530kg
Hitomebore : 410kg

About cesium concentration of brown rice 20 Bq / kg

<Reference> 2010 Iitate village of rice average yield: 519 kg / 10a
Fukushima Prefecture rice relative transaction price

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries data
First shipment of strawberries in Iitate

"We are testing"

"It is safe."

The convenience of the producers

"Origin notation"

“Inspection numeric format”

So that consumers can choose
Thank you for your attention.